

CLIMATE CHANGE AND BIODIVERSITY IN MELANESIA

**Series editors:
Stephen J. Leisz and J. Burke Burnett**

CLIMATE CHANGE AND BIODIVERSITY IN MELANESIA: IMPLICATIONS FOR AND IMPACTS UPON REEF FISHES

**Terry J. Donaldson
University of Guam Marine Laboratory**

CCBM Paper 6

**Funding provided by:
John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation**

**Bishop Museum Technical Report 42(6)
June 2008**

This paper is produced as part of the Climate Change and Biodiversity in Melanesia Project, funded by the John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation. The aim of this project is to assess the vulnerability of Melanesian marine and terrestrial ecosystems to projected climate changes. The CCBM Paper Series, listed below, are published as part of the larger Bishop Museum Technical Report Series.

Paper No.	Author	Title
1	Kelvin Richards and Axel Timmermann, IPRC, SOEST, University of Hawaii	Climate change projections for the Southwestern Pacific with a focus on Melanesia
2	Peter G. Brewer, Monterey Bay Aquarium Research Institute	Climate Change and Biodiversity in Melanesia: Biophysical science – ocean acidification
3	Dan A. Polhemus, Department of Natural Sciences, Bishop Museum	Climate change in New Guinea and its potential effects on freshwater ecosystems
4	Geoffrey Hope, The Australian National University	Palaeoecology and resilience in Melanesia: How can palaeoecology contribute to climate change response planning?
5	Steve Coles, Department of Natural Sciences, Bishop Museum	Potential Climate Change Impacts on Corals and Coral Reefs in Melanesia from Bleaching Events and Ocean Acidification
6	Terry J. Donaldson, University of Guam Marine Laboratory	Climate Change and Biodiversity in Melanesia: Implications for and impacts upon reef fishes
7	Rodney V. Salm and Elizabeth Mcleod, The Nature Conservancy	Climate Change Impacts on Ecosystem Resilience and MPA Management in Melanesia
8	Shelley A. James, Department of Natural Sciences, Bishop Museum	Climate Change Impacts on Native Plant Communities in Melanesia
9	Andrew L. Mack, Carnegie Museum of Natural History	Predicting the effects of climate change on Melanesian bird populations: probing the darkness with a broken flashlight

Synopsis

Climate change poses a significant set of problems for reef fishes associated with coral reef systems, mainly from the negative effects of habitat loss from coral bleaching, ocean acidification, and subsequent physical degradation. The effects are direct (i.e., loss of obligate or facultative microhabitat, food supply or breeding sites) or indirect (i.e., effects of community phase shifts from coral to algal domination, effects on non-obligate coral reef species from loss of prey associated with corals, etc.). In addition, cumulative negative effects upon reef fish diversity and abundance from other sources. Exploitation and over-exploitation are expected to continue regardless of local and regional declines in the diversity and abundance of target species that may be affected directly or indirectly by climate change processes. Changes in the assemblage structure of reef fishes, and corresponding changes in ecological communities, because of climate change effects, will have profound effects upon human users of reef resources. Simple rules of thumb on how to proceed to conserve species in a relatively short time span are needed, as is the application of existing and new methodologies towards understanding how species will respond to habitat loss and what can be done to reduce extinction threats on local, regional and global scales.

Introduction

Globally, extinction risks in marine and terrestrial systems are increasing annually (Hughes *et al.*, 1997; Roberts and Hawkins, 1999; Chapin *et al.*, 2000). Major threat processes include habitat loss or degradation, direct losses from exploitation, intrinsic factors, and indirect losses (Hilton-Taylor, 2000). Greater than 87% of the Earth's surface has been impacted by anthropogenic activities (Sanderson *et al.*, 2002). These impacts are coupled with non-anthropogenic effects that can lead to local and global extinctions. In marine systems, threats are greatest from exploitation and habitat loss (Hilton-Taylor, 2000; Reynolds *et al.*, 2002; Dulvy *et al.* 2003) and fishes are particularly vulnerable (Reynolds *et al.*, 2002; Myers and Worm, 2003; Hutchings and Reynolds, 2004). As a consequence of these impacts, increased extinction rates range in magnitude and may have mass proportions (May and Tregonning, 1998) at local or global scales (Carlton *et al.*, 1999; Pitcher, 2001; Dulvy *et al.* 2003). Thus, the sum of local extinctions across the range of species is a proportion of global extinction.

Both wide-ranging and narrow-ranging marine fish species are vulnerable to extinction because of environmental disturbances, over-fishing or indirect effects of both. Many reef fishes are harvested for food at subsistence, artisanal and commercial levels (Russ, 1991; McManus, 1997; Jennings *et al.* 1999). Non-food fishes are harvested generally for the aquarium trade (Wood, 2001a; 2001b; Sadovy and Vincent, 2002; Vincent 2006), traditional medicine (Vincent, 2006), as by-catch (Kaiser and Jennings, 2001), or for other uses. Life history correlates of harvested species often pre-dispose them to extinction susceptibility, as well (Jennings *et al.*, 1999; Dulvy *et al.*, 2003; Hudson, 2003; Reynolds, 2003; Donaldson *et al.*, unpublished manuscript). These include large body size, late maturity, long generation time, and long life span. Population traits include low maximum growth rates, low or rare abundance, small range sizes, and reproductive

bottlenecks. Extrinsic factors include: habitat loss and over-exploitation (Hudson, 2003). Many non-food fish species share similar correlates (Donaldson *et al.*, unpublished manuscript). For example, anemonefishes (*Amphiprion* spp., Pomacentridae) are relatively long-lived, experience reproductive bottlenecks, i.e., socially-mediated protandrous sex-change, and are strongly site-attached to a living microhabitat that in itself is vulnerable (Moyer, 1976; Moyer and Nakazono, 1978).

Coral reef fishes comprise a highly significant component of reef systems, with high rates of species diversity, endemism, ecological specialization, and for a number of taxa, abundance. They exercise considerable influence upon the biological and physical dynamics of coral reefs and associated habitats (Bellwood *et al.*, 2003), and are of special interest because of the effects of climate change upon tropical and subtropical reefs globally and, with respect to Melanesia, regionally. The fish fauna of many Melanesian localities is highly diverse (Randall, 2005) and characterized by having species with both wide and narrow geographic ranges. Both rarity and commonality exist with respect to the local and regional abundance of many species (Jones *et al.*, 2002), regardless of range size, and rarity amongst some species may occur globally. Coral reef fishes have been demonstrated to be highly vulnerable to exploitation (Wilkinson, 2006), even at the level of subsistence fishing (Jennings *et al.*, 1999; Dulvy *et al.*, 2003), and from habitat loss (Dulvy *et al.*, 2003), because of negative effects primarily upon shelter (Munday, 2004) and food (Kokita and Nakazono, 2004). Other factors, such as pollution and stochastic events, also promote vulnerability to extinction, mainly at local levels. Changes in habitat structure, complexity, and availability, as a consequence of climate change, are expected to have profound negative effects upon many reef fishes. Here, I examine briefly the implications of climate change and its potential impacts upon reef fishes with emphasis upon Melanesia.

Responses of the fishes and fish assemblages to projected environmental changes

The principal sources of habitat loss for reef fishes as a result of climate change are coral bleaching and ocean acidification (Sheppard, 2006; Hoegh-Guldberg *et al.*, 2007), and physical disturbance locally as a result of increased storm activity (Knowlton, 2006). All are linked to the presence of increased carbon dioxide in the atmosphere. Bleaching and increased storm activity are linked to increases in water temperature as a result of increased levels of atmospheric carbon dioxide (Hoegh-Guldberg, 1999; Hughes *et al.*, 2003; Sheppard, 2006; Knowlton, 2006), while ocean acidification is caused by the reaction of increased pCO₂ with seawater (Hoegh-Guldberg *et al.*, 2007). The mechanisms of habitat loss include the reduction of architectural complexity and integrity of reefs, habitat phase shifts towards monolithic species composition or algal-dominant rather than coral-dominant community structures, and eventual degradation to rubble and sand (Sheppard, 2006). Reef fishes are predicted to make corresponding changes in assemblage structure with a reduction in species diversity, abundance, or trophic complexity (Knowlton, 2006; Sheppard, 2006). These changes are expected to proceed via two types of responses to habitat loss: direct and indirect.

Direct responses will be mainly in a decline in the species diversity and abundance of species that utilize corals for microhabitat (e.g., shelter), breeding sites, or food. Thus, for example, obligate and facultative coral-dwelling species, such as gobies of the genera *Gobiodon* and *Paragobiodon* (Gobiidae), hawkfishes (Cirrhitidae;) and coral-crouchers (Caracanthidae), are expected to decline in both species diversity and abundance locally with the loss of critical coral microhabitats (mainly *Acropora* and *Pocillopora* spp. corals) (Donaldson 1989; unpublished manuscript; Dulvy *et al.*, 2003; Munday, 2004; Wong *et al.*, 2005; Thompson *et al.*, 2007). An ontogenetic effect is expected for species whose post-settlement larvae and juveniles utilize corals for shelter, even if they do not use corals as adults. Species that utilize corals as substrates for nesting, e.g., algal patches created on corals by the filefish *Oxymonacanthus longirostris* (Monacanthidae) (Barlow, 1987), and damselfishes of the genus *Plectroglyphidodon* spp. (Pomacentridae) (see Myers, 1999), are predicted to decline as well with the physical loss of coral skeletons from degradation. Obligate coral-feeding species, i.e., the filefish *O. longirostris* (Kokita and Nakazono, 2001), butterflyfishes (Chaetodontidae; Myers, 1999), the parrotfish *Bolbometopon muricatum* (Bellwood *et al.*, 2003; Donaldson and Dulvy, 2004; Dulvy and Polunin, 2004), or those species that farm algae in living coral patches (i.e., the damselfishes *Stegastes lividus*, *S. nigricans*, and *Hemiglyphidodon plagiometopon*; see Myers, 1999), are all expected to decline either from the direct loss of food (coral polyps) or structure used to “grow” food (algae). Direct responses to ocean acidification may include the interruption of ontogenetic development in larval fishes.

Indirect responses will include a cascade effect upon predators of species that utilize corals for shelter either obligatively, facultatively, or opportunistically. Adult reef fishes of many species may not necessarily be affected by loss of corals but rather will be affected negatively by loss of prey that are associated with corals. Indirect responses will also include effects upon omnivores and herbivores that feed upon specific prey or forage associated usually with living corals. As corals decline, so will the prey or forage associated with these corals.

Aside from direct and indirect effects of climate change upon reef fish biodiversity, one must consider also the cumulative negative effects upon reef fish diversity and abundance from other sources. Exploitation and over-exploitation are likely to continue regardless of local and regional declines in the diversity and abundance of target species that may be affected directly or indirectly by climate change processes. Additionally, the effects of intrinsic factors (described above) and extrinsic factors, such as pollution, poor land-use practices leading to sedimentation, and anthropomorphic or non-anthropomorphic physical destruction (i.e., coral mining, dredging, storm effects) upon habitat, and hence upon reef fishes that utilize that habitat, must also be accounted for. Climate change effects will not operate in a vacuum. So, any consideration of the effects of climate change upon reef fish diversity and abundance must also include a summation of estimated proportional impacts from other intrinsic and extrinsic factors. The interaction of these factors will influence extinction susceptibility, vulnerability and risk (Hudson, 2003; Purvis *et al.*, 2005, Donaldson *et al.*, unpublished manuscripts). Localized

extinctions (Dulvy *et al.* 2000) are predicted to proceed at a pace matching the loss of coral reef habitat coupled with increased pressure from exploitation and other factors.

Consequences of impact on the species group or ecological community for ecosystem services to humans

Changes in the assemblage structure of reef fishes, and corresponding changes in ecological communities, because of climate change effects, will have profound effects upon human users of reef resources. Fishers are often dependant upon reef resources associated directly or indirectly with corals. The loss of corals is predicted to lead to a decline in reef resources and especially reef fishes. Those species dependent upon corals will likely decline in abundance and diversity first, with those species dependent in part upon coral-dependent species following suit over time and especially in the face of other extrinsic and intrinsic factors. The potential loss of larval fishes to ocean acidification during ontogenetic development will have an even greater impact upon fish assemblage structure and abundances on reefs and related systems. Thus, reef fish resources will be lost to human users and reef ecosystem function will deteriorate as well.

Research needs

Côté and Reynolds (2002) and Côté *et al.* (2006) suggest that “Things are getting worse” and advocate the use of simple rules of thumb on how to proceed to conserve species in a relatively short time span. While their concern is not strictly about the effects of climate change upon biodiversity, their insistence that we utilize methods that allow us to assess impacts but also address them while confronted by a paucity of data, has validity and should be heeded. A number of tools or methodologies may be brought to bear. It is necessary, however, to employ their use in a framework that allows for the integration of previous research results, however limited, and new research that will allow us to improve our abilities to predict the results of negative impacts but also to design and implement strategies that are effective for conserving threatened and endangered species.

First, it is always nice to know what you are working with. For reef fishes, knowledge of life history correlates of many species need to be estimated (Reynolds *et al.*, 2001; Reynolds, 2003). For many taxa, especially in a data-poor environment, it is necessary to infer what these might be by use of phylogenetic methods (Jennings *et al.*, 1999; Reynolds, 2003; Purvis *et al.*, 2005). These methods can allow researchers and management biologists to determine which intrinsic factors are relevant to impacts from climate change.

Second, knowledge of these intrinsic factors, coupled with knowledge of extrinsic factors (mechanisms of habitat loss and exploitation, plus acidification) and their interaction can be used to assess the extinction susceptibility, and hence risk, of species or groups of species (genera or families). Thus, direct and indirect responses by impacted taxa may be predicted. The responses of reef larvae to changing conditions, especially decreases

in pH locally, should be included because ocean acidification may have profound negative effects upon developing fish larvae. Extinction susceptibility calculations (Purvis *et al.*, 2005) and matrix constructions (Hudson, 2003; Donaldson *et al.*, unpublished manuscript; Donaldson, unpublished manuscript) may be relatively easy to perform, are valid (Donaldson *et al.*, unpublished manuscript), are modifiable with newly acquired data, and are useful for prioritizing conservation effort (Hudson, 2003; Purvis *et al.*, 2005).

Third, direct observation and measurement of direct and indirect responses for species not yet documented should be made wherever possible. Observations should include the collection of water temperature and pH data along depth profiles where possible, and species interactions in altered habitats (i.e., phase-shifted reefs). These observations should be reported to an accessible data base and made available for extinction susceptibility assessments (Hudson, 2003; Purvis *et al.*, 2005), IUCN Red List assessments (Hilton-Taylor, 2000), or other analyses (i.e. meta-analysis) (Côté *et al.*, 2006).

Fourth, Dulvy *et al.* (2004) provide methods for assessing extinction risk in marine fishes. These should be tailored specifically for the effects of habitat loss from climate change but should also account for losses from other sources (anthropomorphic and non-anthropomorphic) in a cumulative fashion.

Fifth, methods for estimating the probabilities of recovery should be developed and, or implemented (Kerr and Deguise, 2004). In terrestrial systems, habitat loss explains most of the variation in declines of terrestrial flora and fauna that lead ultimately to species extinction. The same outcomes should be expected for marine organisms and especially coral reef fishes. The ability to estimate the probability of recovery for a taxon, or better, a suite of taxa, from the effects of habitat loss on reefs will depend upon knowledge of life histories, but also upon knowledge of protections afforded by reef habitats at less risk of loss. For example, habitat at depths below the transition zone between patches of the reef vulnerable to bleaching versus not vulnerable to bleaching (Sheppard, 2006), as well as in reefs that afford protection from anthropogenic impacts, especially fishing (i.e., Roberts *et al.*, 2006), should be identified.

Sixth, and perhaps from a somewhat different perspective, although linked both to tracking changes and estimating probabilities of recovery, it might prove quite useful to think of large-scale declines in reef fish populations and assemblages from climate change-induced habitat loss in the context of severe over-exploitation (see Reynolds *et al.*, 2001). Predictions using models modified from those created for exploitation effects, with modification equating massive habitat loss effects with those of exploitation rate, might be possible. Simply put, one would treat the effects of habitat loss as the “result” of exploitation but on a grander scale. Models exploring selective exploitation might be used to consider species-specific direct responses to a massive loss of habitat or food. Non-selective exploitation (i.e., by-catch) models might be equivalent to indirect responses to massive loss of habitat or food.

Seventh, geospatial models need to be developed and used to predict the geography of extinction from habitat loss as a consequence climate change. These should incorporate localized extinction events sequentially as a means of estimating the percentage of regional (e.g., Melanesia) and global extinction of a given species. These models should incorporate also shifts in local assemblage and community structure sequentially. The use of appropriate statistics to analyze spatial structure must be employed in order to avoid various pitfalls associated with spatial data (Perry *et al.*, 2002).

Eighth, the worst case scenario model should provide a summation of effects from climate change with those from other intrinsic and extrinsic factors. Predicted losses from climate change should include, in step-wise fashion, predicted losses from exploitation, plus losses from other forms of habitat change, such as pollution or trawling effects (Jennings *et al.* 2001), sedimentation, palm cultivation and other forms of coastal land misuse, as well as dredging and coral mining, subsistence mining of corals for betel nut, etc., non-anthropogenic, i.e., typhoons, tsunamis, volcanic eruptions, etc. Estimates of effects may be derived from previous studies and examined in a meta-analysis framework (Côté *et al.*, 2006) in order to predict overall effects upon reef fish species. Then, these should be considered along with losses attributed to their interaction with intrinsic factors in order to estimate extinction susceptibility and risk (i.e. Hudson, 2003; Purvis *et al.*, 2005).

Specific implications for the Melanesia region

Subsistence and artisanal fisheries will be impacted negatively because of direct and indirect effects of habitat loss. Localized extinctions are likely to follow and will be accelerated because of increased fishing effort on remaining species, with abundances of larger species being reduced first (Jennings *et al.* 1999; Graham *et al.*, 2005). The Live Reef Food Fish Trade will likely increase fishing effort upon declining stocks of target species, as well. This fishery exploits threatened and rare species with no consideration of economic extinction because the value of target species increases with increasing rarity (Sadovy and Vincent, 2002; Sadovy *et al.*, 2003). While local peoples may realize gains in short-term income by participating in this fishery, the long-term consequences of exploiting declining stocks will likely have negative effects upon subsistence and commercial fisheries.

Coral reefs are attractive for tourism (Jobbins, 2006). Tourism, specifically dive tourism, will likely be impacted negatively because of both the loss of attractive habitats (e.g., coral reefs), and the loss of attractive fishes (both large species and small, showy species) because of direct and indirect effects. As desirable reef habitat and its inhabitants decline, so shall dive tourism and the direct (dive operations) and indirect (lodging, restaurants, boat services, etc.) industries and the employees that support it.

The loss of the intrinsic value of biodiversity, which can be translated functionally to include also the reef system's capacity for stability but also its potential for phase shift between two dominant structural components (i.e., McManus *et al.*, 2000), is of prime importance. Stable and diverse reef systems have been shown to be intrinsically productive within physical and chemical limits. The potential for alternatively stable

systems to develop via a phase shift, systems that are based upon lower species diversity and abundance, is considerable (Knowlton, 2006). An example may be a system driven formerly by corals but now dominated by benthic algae. Corresponding changes in the assemblage structure of reef fishes as a consequence of the maintenance of this alternative stability would likely see drastic declines or localized extinctions of coral-associated species and their predators, and their replacement by herbivorous fishes and their predators. Such a change would affect both human utilization of resources but also the physical structure and maintenance of reefs in Melanesia and elsewhere (Bellwood *et al.*, 2003; Sheppard, 2006).

Implications for natural resource management

Researchers, management biologists, managers, policy makers, and user groups will all have to recognize the necessity of treating declines in reef fish populations and reductions or shifts in assemblage structure from climate change effects as being equivalent to a massive exploitation event. There will be attempts to continue business as usual, i.e., the continuation of current or increasing fishery exploitation rates, and the application of relatively similar rates of anthropogenic habitat destruction, as reef fish populations decline and assemblage structures change. These attempts should be resisted and done so simply but intelligently. Research is needed that produces models that allow for the development of management strategies that partition the relative roles of both intrinsic and extrinsic factors, and their cumulative effects, in addition to those direct and indirect effects from climate change-induced habitat loss.

It will be necessary also to know what you have, what it needs to survive, and what to look for as it begins to disappear. Many extinctions, be they local or global, often go unnoticed for a considerable time (Dulvy *et al.*, 2003). Local knowledge can be a powerful tool in understanding how reef fishes respond to habitat loss in the face of other impacts. Often, local knowledge can be transferred regionally if the same or similar species are being considered. The importance of such transfers of information within Melanesia, and the use of this information towards regional conservation of reef fishes, cannot be over emphasized.

References

- Barlow, G.W. 1987. Spawning, eggs and larvae of the longnose filefish *Oxymonacanthus longirostris*, a monogamous coralivore. *Environmental Biology of Fishes* 20: 183-194.
- Bellwood, D.R., Hoey, A.S. and Choat., J.H. 2003. Limited functional redundancy in high diversity systems: resilience and ecosystem function on coral reefs. *Ecology Letters* 6: 281-285.
- Carlton, J.T., Keller, J.B., Reaka-Kudla, M.L. and Norse, E.A. 1999. Historical extinctions in the sea. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 30: 525-538.
- Chapin, F.S., III, Zavaleta, E.S., Eviner, V.T., Naylor, R.L., Vitousek, V.M., *et al.* 2002. Consequences of changing biodiversity. *Nature* 105: 234-242.
- Côté, I.M. and Reynolds, J.D. 2002. Predictive ecology to the rescue? *Science* 298: 1181-1182.
- Côté, I.M., Gardner, T.A., Gill, J.A., Hutchinson, D.J. and Watkinson, A.R. 2006. New approaches to estimating recent ecological changes on coral reefs. Pp. 293-313 in I.M. Côté and J.D. Reynolds (eds.), *Coral reef conservation*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Donaldson, T.J. 1989. Facultative monogamy in obligate coral-dwelling hawkfishes (Cirrhitidae). *Environmental Biology of Fishes* 26: 295-302.
- Donaldson, T.J. and Dulvy, N.K. 2004. Threatened fishes of the world: *Bolbometopon muricatum* (Valenciennes, 1839) (Scaridae). *Environmental Biology of Fishes* 70: 373.
- Dulvy, N.K., Metcalfe, J.D., Glanville, J., Pawson, M.G. and Reynolds, J.D. 2000. Fishery stability, local extinctions and shifts in community structure of skates. *Conservation Biology* 14: 283-293.
- Dulvy, N.K. and Reynolds, J.D. 2002. Predicting extinction vulnerability in skates. *Conservation Biology* 16: 440-450.
- Dulvy, N.K., Sadovy, Y. and Reynolds, J.D. 2003. Extinction vulnerability in marine populations. *Fish and Fisheries* 4: 25-64.
- Dulvy, N.K. and Polunin, N.V.C. 2004. Using informal knowledge to infer human-induced rarity of a conspicuous reef fish. *Animal Conservation* 7: 365-374.
- Dulvy, N.K., Ellis, J.R., Goodwin, N.B., Grant, A., Reynolds, J.D. and Jennings, S, 2004. Methods of assessing extinction risk in marine fishes. *Fish and Fisheries* 5: 255-276.
- Graham, N.A.J., Dulvy, N.K., Jennings, S. and Polunin, N.V.C. 2005. Size-spectra as indicators of the effects of fishing on coral reef fish assemblages. *Coral Reefs* 24: 118-124.
- Hilton-Taylor, C. 2000. *IUCN Red List of Threatened Species*. International Union for the Conservation of Nature, Gland, Switzerland.
- Hoegh-Guldberg, O. 1999. Climate change, coral bleaching and the future of the world's coral reefs. *Marine and Freshwater Research* 50: 839-866.
- Hoegh-Guldbert, O., Mumby, P.J., Hooten, A.J., Steneck, R.S., Greenfield, P., *et al.* 2007. Coral reefs under rapid climate change and ocean acidification. *Science* 318: 1737-1742.

- Hudson, E. 2003. Shattering the myth: evidence for the susceptibility of marine species to extinction or severe depletion. IUCN-Species Survival Commission, London. 39pp.
- Hughes, J.B., Daily, G.C. and Erlich, P.R. 1997. Population diversity: its extent and extinction. *Science* 278: 289-292.
- Hughes, T.P., Baird, A.H., Bellwood, D.R., Card, M., Connolly, S.R., *et al.* 2003. Climate change, human impacts, and the resilience of coral reefs. *Science* 301: 929-933.
- Hutchings, J.A. and Reynolds, J.D. 2004. Marine fish population collapses: consequences for recovery and extinction risk. *BioScience* 297: 54: 297-309.
- Jennings, S. And Kaiser, M.J. 1998. The effects of fishing on marine ecosystems. *Advances in Marine Biology* 34: 201-352.
- Jennings, S., Reynolds, J.D. and Mills, S.C. 1998. Life history correlates of responses to fisheries exploitation. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London (B)* 265: 333-339.
- Jennings, S., Reynolds, J.D. and Polunin, N.V.C. 1999. Predicting the vulnerability of tropical reef fishes to exploitation using phylogenies and life histories. *Conservation Biology* 13: 1466-1475.
- Jennings, S., Dinamore, T.A., Duplisea, D.E., Warr, K.J. and Lancaster, J.E. 2001. Trawling disturbance can modify benthic production processes. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 70: 459-475.
- Jobbins, G. 2006. Tourism and coral-reef-based conservation: can they coexist? Pp. 237-263 in I.M. Côté and J.D. Reynolds (eds.), *Coral reef conservation*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Jones, G.P., Munday, P.L. and Caley, M.J. 2002. Rarity in coral reef fish communities. Pages 81-101 in P.F. Sale (ed.). *Coral reef fishes. Dynamics and diversity in a complex ecosystem*. Academic Press, San Diego.
- Kaiser, M.J. and Jennings, S. 2001. An ecosystem perspective on conserving targeted and non-targeted species. Pp. 343-369 in J.D. Reynolds, G.M. Mace, K.H. Redford and J.G. Robinson (eds.), *Conservation of exploited species*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Kerr, J.T. and Deguise, I. 2004. Habitat loss and limits to endangered species recovery. *Ecology Letters* 7: 1163-1169.
- Kokita, T. and Nakazono, A. 2001. Rapid response of an obligately corallivorous filefish *Oxymonacanthus longirostris* (Monacanthidae) to a mass coral bleaching event. *Coral Reefs* 20: 155-158.
- Knowlton, N. 2006. Coral reef coda: what can we hope for? Pp. 538-549 in I.M. Côté and J.D. Reynolds (eds.), *Coral reef conservation*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Lassig, B.R. 1977. Socioecological strategies adopted by obligate coral-dwelling fishes. *Proceedings of the 3rd International Coral Reef Symposium, Miami 1977*: 565-570.
- Lassig, B.R. 1983. The effects of a cyclonic storm on coral reef fish assemblages. *Environmental Biology of Fishes* 9: 55-63.
- May, R.M. and Tregonning, K. 1998. Global conservation and UK government policy. Pp. 287-301 in G.M. Mace, A. Balmford and J.R. Ginsberg (eds.), *Conservation in a changing world*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.

- McManus, J.W. 1997. Tropical marine fisheries and the future of coral reefs: a brief review with emphasis on Southeast Asia. *Coral Reefs* 16: S121-S127.
- McManus, J.W., Menez, L.A.B., Kesner-Reyes, K.N., Vergara, S.G., and Ablan, M.C. 2000. Coral reef fishing and coral-algae phase shifts: implications for global reef status. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 57: 572-578.
- Moyer, J.T. 1976. Geographical variation and social dominance in Japanese populations of the anemonefish, *Amphiprion clarkii*. *Japanese Journal of Ichthyology* 23: 12-22.
- Moyer, J.T. and Nakazono, A. 1978. Protandrous hermaphroditism in six species of the anemonefish genus *Amphiprion* in Japan. *Japanese Journal of Ichthyology* 25: 101-106.
- Munday, P.L. 2004. Habitat loss, resource specialisation, and extinction on coral reefs. *Global Change Biology* 10: 1642-1674.
- Myers, R.A. and Worm, B. 2003. Rapid worldwide depletion of predatory fish communities. *Nature* 423: 280-283.
- Myers, R.F. 1999. Micronesian reef fishes. 3rd ed. Coral Graphics, Barrigada, Guam. 330 pp.
- Perry, J.N., Leibhold, A.M., Rosenberg, M.S., Dungan, J., Miriti, M., Jakomulska, A. and Citron-Pousty, S. 2002. Illustrations and guidelines for selecting statistical methods for quantifying spatial pattern in ecological data. *Ecography* 25: 578-600.
- Petersen, C.W. and Levitan, D.R. 2001. The Allee effect: a barrier to recovery by exploited species. Pp. 281-300 in J.D. Reynolds, G.M. Mace, K.H. Redford and J.G. Robinson (eds.), *Conservation of exploited species*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Pitcher, T.J. 2001. Fisheries managed to rebuild ecosystems?: reconstructing the past to salvage the future. *Ecological Applications* 11: 601-617.
- Purvis, A., Cardillo, M., Grenyer, R. and Collen, B. 2005. Correlates of extinction risk: phylogeny, biology, threat and scale. Pp. 295-316 in A. Purvis, J.L. Gittleman, and T. Brooks (eds.), *Phylogeny and conservation*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Randall, J.E. 2005. Reef and shore fishes of the South Pacific. University of Hawaii Press, Honolulu. 720 pp.
- Reynolds, J.D. 2003. Life histories and extinction risk. Pp. 195-217 in T.J. Blackburn (ed.), *Macroecology*. Blackwell Publishing, Oxford, UK.
- Reynolds, J.D., Jennings, S. and Dulvy, N.K. 2001. Life histories of fishes and population responses to exploitation. Pp. 147-168 in J.D. Reynolds, G.M. Mace, K.H. Redford and J.G. Robinson (eds.), *Conservation of exploited species*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Reynolds, J.D., Dulvy, N.K. and Roberts, C.M. 2002. Exploitation and other threats to fish conservation. Pp. 319-341 in P.J.B. Hart and J.D. Reynolds (eds.), *Handbook of fish biology and fisheries*, vol. 2. Blackwell Publishing, Oxford, UK.
- Roberts, C.M. and Hawkins, J.P. 1999. Extinction risk in the sea. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 14: 241-246.
- Russ, G.R. 1991. Coral reef fisheries: effects and yields. Pp. 601-635 in P.F. Sale (ed.), *The ecology of fishes on coral reefs*. Academic Press, San Diego.

- Sadovy, Y. and Vincent, A.C.J. 2002. Ecological issues and the trades in live reef fishes. Pp. 391-420 in P.F. Sale (ed.). Coral reef fishes. Dynamics and diversity in a complex ecosystem. Academic Press, San Diego.
- Sadovy, Y., Donaldson, T.J., Graham, T.R., McGilvray, F., Muldoon, G.J., Phillips, M.J., Rimmer, M.A., Smith, A. and Yeeting, B. 2003. While stocks last; the live reef food fish trade. Asian Development Bank Pacific Studies Series, Manila, Philippines 147 pp.
- Sanderson, E.W., Malandang, J., Levy, M.A., Redford, K.H., Wannebo, A.E. and Woolmer, G. 2002. The human footprint and the last of the wild. *BioScience* 2: 891-904.
- Sheppard, C. 2006. Longer-term impacts of climate change on coral reefs. Pp. 264-290 in I.M. Côté and J.D. Reynolds (eds.), *Coral reef conservation*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Vincent, A.C.J. 2006. Live food and non-food fisheries on coral reefs, and their potential management. Pp. 183-236 in I.M. Côté and J.D. Reynolds (eds.), *Coral reef conservation*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Wilkinson, C. 2006. Status of coral reefs of the world: summary of threats and remedial action. Pp. 3-39 in I.M. Côté and J.D. Reynolds (eds.), *Coral reef conservation*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Wood, E. 2001a. Collection of coral reef fish for aquaria: global trade, conservation issues and management strategies. Marine Conservation Society, Ross-on-Wye, UK.
- Wood, E. 2001b. Global advances in conservation and management of ornamental resources. *Aquarium Sciences and Conservation* 3: 65-77.
- Wong, M., Munday, P.L. and Jones, G.P. 2005. Habitat patch size, facultative monogamy and sex change in a coral-dwelling fish, *Caracanthus unipinna*. *Environmental Biology of Fishes* 74: 141-150.